UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,287	09/30/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14794US02	5434
Christopher C V	7590 08/22/200 <b>Vinslade</b>	EXAMINER		
McAndrews He	ld & Malloy Ltd	RYAN, PATRICK A		
500 Wes Madis 34th Floor	on st	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 606	561	2623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/675,287	KARAOGUZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	PATRICK A. RYAN	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	ne same day as filing a Notice of plies: (1) an amendment, affidavi I (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advance event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shoset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	ideration and/or search (see NO );	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed.</li> </ul>			
non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e:	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and standard was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but on <a href="See Continuation Sheet">See Continuation Sheet</a>.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (P</li> </ul>		n condition for allowan	ce because:
13. Other:			
/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623	/P. A. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 2623		

## MISCELLANEOUS NOTE: APPLICANT IS ADVISED THAT THE EXAMINER OF RECORD FOR THIS APPLICATION HAS CHANGED.

Continuation of 11. Applicants Reply to Final Office Action of June 2, 2008 ("Reply") does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant presents that Novak (2002/0104099 A1) does not teach "organizing, at said first location, said located media and at least a portion of broadcast media and/or transferred media into channels", because "none of the data that is uploaded by the upload source 122 to the server or web site 124 includes any broadcast programming" and "such broadcast media is provided by the cable service provider over the cable network 134" (With reference to Reply Pages 11-12 and Novak Figs.1,4; paragraphs 0010, 0026, 0039, 0041, 0056, & 0057, as cited in Final Office Action Page 3). The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

The Examiner submits Applicant's Claim 1 requires that "broadcast media and/or transferred media" is provided for organization at the first location. Therefore, one of broadcast or transferred media is sufficient to meet the claimed limitation. Novak clearly teaches that transferred "personal media" is organized at the upload source 122 into a "synthetic channel" (Novak Fig. 4 Step 404, "create a schedule of programming" as described in Paragraph [0057]; with further reference to Interface 702 of Fig. 7, as described in Paragraph [0063]). Therefore the Examiner upholds the rejection applied to the cited portion of Claim 1 above.

Applicant also presents that Novak does not teach "transparently transferring from said first location, at least a portion of said organized channels to at least a second location within the communication network" because "at step 406, a token or electronic file is sent to the end user to subscribe the end user's terminal (set top box 152) to the synthetic channel" (With reference to Reply Pages 12-13 and Novak Figs.1,2,4,11; paragraphs 0041, 0058, 0059, 0085, & 0086, as cited in Final Office Action Page 3). Applicant cites Novak Paragraph [0058] "discloses that the individual (who uploads the media to server or web site 124) emails the token or other electronic file to the end user" and "Obviously, the user will be aware of such emailed token" (Reply Page 13). The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

The Examiner submits that Novak's token "may trigger an application (or the token itself can be an application) that causes the EPG 153 and/or the set top box to add the synthetic channel to the program listings" (Paragraph [0058]). Novak further teaches, regarding a token, that an application, such as a Java applet, is automatically downloaded and triggers an update of EPG 153 (as described in Paragraph [0080]). Therefore, the Examiner upholds the rejection applied to the cited portion of Claim 1 above.

PAR